Г	
1	MS. McGEEHAN: It's my understanding that
2	when we've been asked to prepare fiscal notes for these
3	kinds of issues, we have not added a fiscal impact for
4	something that's already a statutory duty. As we
5	analyze HB 1, maybe we're going to have to revise that,
6	but at least our standing policy was if it was a
7	statutory duty that we're already charged to do, that we
8	don't put an additional fiscal note on it.
9	SEN. DAVIS: Are you concerned that you're
10	going to find yourselves fairly flatfooted in terms of
11	not being prepared with the resources that you need, to
12	train election workers and to train county
13	administrators on the requirements of this new law
14	facing the budget cuts that you're facing without a
15	fiscal note that's going to add resources to your
16	department for purposes of carrying out these
17.	requirements?
18	MS. McGEEHAN: I think all state agencies
19	in the state have concerns about providing the services
20	they are charged to provide in light of significant
21	budget cuts. But on the issue of training, the analysis
22	was that that was not going to cost anything additional
23	as to what we've already been appropriated.
24	SEN. DAVIS: And do you agree with that,
25	that it's not going to cost anything additional for your

numbered year, we hold four seminars, and we have very 1 good attendance from our county election officials. 2 I would be certain that our August county election 3 official seminar will be heavily -- if this passes will 4 5 heavily emphasize these new rules. 6 To go back to the federal funds, which we know are limited, the grant for voter education also 7 includes election official training and poll worker 8 training. So if there are any remaining HAVA dollars in that category that we don't use on voter education, we 10 could perhaps use to additional -- to develop additional 11 training materials. 12 13 SEN. DAVIS: Yes, and we talked about that a moment ago, and you did state on the record that that 14 15 category of 5 to \$7 million that's remaining is the entirety of the federal resource that you have available 16 17 to you right now, both for voter education and for 18 training purposes. And we've also talked about the fact that the expectation and the demand on that particular 19 fund for public education is going to take the 20 significant balance that remains there. Correct? 21 22 MS. McGEEHAN: Right. Well, just to be clear, the remaining balance in the HAVA is all we have 23 for voter education, but there are some state funds -- I 24 don't think it's a lot -- but that would go towards 25

1	MS. McGEEHAN: Okay. I think that what
2	that is referring to is that at the end of Senate
3	Bill 14, there's a reference that says county voter
4	registrars can use Chapter 19 funds to defray costs in
5	conducting a voter registration drive. But I don't see
6	anything and I may have missed it but I don't see
7	anything in Senate Bill 14 that requires a voter
8	registration drive. I think it's what that section
9	in the bill is doing is trying to make clear that these
10	funds, which are go to county voter registrars to
11	enhance voter registration could be used to do voter
12	registration drives, but I don't see anything that
13	requires a voter registration drive in Senate Bill 14.
14	SEN. DAVIS: What resources currently are
15	expected of our local governments in carrying out the
16	training and the public awareness programs under our
17	election code.
18	MS. McGEEHAN: The there's no state law
19	requirement to do voter education by the county
20	officials. Most of them do it as a public service
21.	because they want to, but there's not a mandate under
22	state law to do that.
23	Under Senate Bill 14, there's required
24	training of poll workers on the new photo ID
25	requirements. And I may have missed part of your

currently on the roll because I've married or I've 1 divorced, how is that situation handled today? 2 MS. McGEEHAN: State law doesn't directly 3 address it. So I think that as a practical matter 4 what's happening is the poll workers are making judgment 5 calls as they qualify those voters for voting. 6 7 SEN. DAVIS: But they are not being given guidance or rules or requirements in terms of how they 8 are to deal with that situation today? 9 10 MS. McGEEHAN: No. 11 SEN. DAVIS: It's within their discretion? 12 MS. McGEEHAN: At this point. I mean, state law is silent on it, and our office has not issued 13 14 any guidance on it. So we're hearing a lot about that today. That's definitely something we'll probably need 15 to look into, but right now there is no rule or statute 16 on that issue. 17 18 SEN. DAVIS: Okay. And today if I go to vote and my identification that I use for purposes of 19 voting has a different address on it than is listed on 20 the precinct roll, I think it's the interpretation today 21 under 2004 Secretary of State opinion that I am asked 22 for my correct address, and I am to be believed if I say 23 that my address is the address that's on the precinct 24 list as opposed to what might be on my ID? 25

1 SEN. DAVIS: And what steps would the 2 Secretary of State's Office engage in to assure that the 3 ID wasn't being used to establish an understanding of the voter's residency? 5 MS. McGEEHAN: Would definitely, I think, be included in our training materials to emphasize that. 6 7 SEN. DAVIS: Currently, is there any 8 information that the Secretary of State's Office gathers that breaks down by category voters in the state? And when I say "by category," I mean by race, by gender, by 10 disability, by age. 11 12 MS. McGEEHAN: We have some information. 13 We have -- we have age for sure. On gender -- we have some information on gender, but it's not conclusive 14 because gender is now -- it used to be a required 15 16 element on the voter registration application. In 1995, it was taken -- or it became optional after the National 17 Voter Registration Act. So we have some data on gender, 18 19 but, again, it's not complete. 20 Regarding ethnicity, we really -- we don't have any information like that because it's not 21 collected when a person applies to register to vote. 22 The only data that we do have is we do have the number 23 of voters that have an Hispanic surname. And so we can 24. run the list of registered voters against this list of 25

1	
1	to have some way to collect it. So we could revisit
2	putting that question or adding that as a question to
3	the voter registration application. I'd be happy to
4	visit on ways where we could try and collect that, but
5	right now we would not have the tools that we would need
6	to be able to collect that data.
7	SEN. DAVIS: It seems rather important as
8	implementation of this law advances that that
9	information be made available for the Justice Department
10	review as well as any judicial review that might occur
11	in terms of the impact of the implementation of the law.
12	I believe that's all the questions I have
13	for you. Thank you so much.
14	MS. McGEEHAN: Thank you.
15	CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: The Chair recognizes
16	Senator West.
17	SEN. WEST: Thank you very much,
18	Mr. Chairman. Many of the questions Senator Davis has
19	already asked, but have you had a chance to look at the
20	bill as introduced?
21	MS. McGEEHAN: Yes.
22-	SEN. WEST: Okay. Do you happen to have
23	it there in front of you?
24	MS. McGEEHAN: Yes, I do.
25	SEN. WEST: Okay. Great. Before I get

1	
1	MS. McGEEHAN: That's correct. And just
2	an example of that, the cost that Bexar County put in
3	the fiscal note was I think their assumption was that
4	the certificate, the voter registration certificate
5	would have to increase in size. And I don't see
6	anything in the bill that requires that. And the
7	Secretary of State prescribes the form. So once that's
8	explained to the county, they might withdraw that
9	fiscal
10	SEN. FRASER: I want to make sure that
11	that's clear, is that some of these assumptions are
12	possibly the-sky-is-falling assumptions that this is
13	you know, this expense is going to be put on us, and I
14	don't think that's been discussed. And some of this, I
15	think, can be done by ruling of the Secretary of State,
16	directing them. And there is a real good chance that a
17	lot of these expenses go away that can be absorbed
18	through the Secretary of State. And that is correct,
19	isn't it?
20	MS. McGEEHAN: Yes.
21	SEN. FRASER: Okay. I wanted to clear
22	that up. Thank you so much.
23	CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: The Chair recognizes
24	Senator Williams.
25	SEN. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

had talked earlier about the project that I asked you to do, to cross-reference the driver's licenses and the voter registration. How is that coming along? I know I 3 only asked today, but I just --4 5 MS. McGEEHAN: Yes. SEN. WILLIAMS: -- but what is a 6 reasonable expectation for us to get that information? 7 MS. McGEEHAN: I would hope by the end of 8 the week. One thing that our IT folks and our election 9 10 experts are trying to struggle with is like matching criteria --11 SEN. WILLIAMS: Right. 12 MS. McGEEHAN: -- you know, which we won't 13 have a TLD number, so we're working through some of 14 that. But I would expect by the end of the week we 15 would have it, if not earlier. 16 17 SEN. WILLIAMS: Okay. So do you need any further direction from us? For instance, if we wanted 18 19 to target that universe of people that we know are out there and maybe make a little extra effort to make sure 20 that they understood they were going to have a new 21 22 requirement when they went to vote as far as getting a photo ID, if they didn't already have one -- and we've 23 identified who they are -- if we gave legislative intent 24 as a part of the bill tomorrow, would that be sufficient 25

1	MS. McGEEHAN: That's correct. And just
2	an example of that, the cost that Bexar County put in
3	the fiscal note was I think their assumption was that
4	the certificate, the voter registration certificate
5	would have to increase in size. And I don't see
6	anything in the bill that requires that. And the
7	Secretary of State prescribes the form. So once that's
8	explained to the county, they might withdraw that
9	fiscal
10	SEN. FRASER: I want to make sure that
11	that's clear, is that some of these assumptions are
12	possibly the-sky-is-falling assumptions that this is
13	you know, this expense is going to be put on us, and I
14	don't think that's been discussed. And some of this, I
15	think, can be done by ruling of the Secretary of State,
16	directing them. And there is a real good chance that a
17	lot of these expenses go away that can be absorbed
18	through the Secretary of State. And that is correct,
19	isn't it?
20	MS. McGEEHAN: Yes.
21	SEN. FRASER: Okay. I wanted to clear
22	that up. Thank you so much.
23	CHAIRMAN DUNCAN: The Chair recognizes
24	Senator Williams.
25	SEN. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

had talked earlier about the project that I asked you to 1 do, to cross-reference the driver's licenses and the voter registration. How is that coming along? I know I 3 only asked today, but I just --4 5 MS. McGEEHAN: Yes. SEN. WILLIAMS: -- but what is a 6 reasonable expectation for us to get that information? 7 MS. McGEEHAN: I would hope by the end of 8 the week. One thing that our IT folks and our election 9 10 experts are trying to struggle with is like matching criteria --11 SEN. WILLIAMS: Right. 12 13 MS. McGEEHAN: -- you know, which we won't have a TLD number, so we're working through some of 14 that. But I would expect by the end of the week we 15 would have it, if not earlier. 16 SEN. WILLIAMS: Okay. So do you need any 17 further direction from us? For instance, if we wanted 18 19 to target that universe of people that we know are out there and maybe make a little extra effort to make sure 20 that they understood they were going to have a new 21 22 requirement when they went to vote as far as getting a 23 photo ID, if they didn't already have one -- and we've identified who they are -- if we gave legislative intent 24 as a part of the bill tomorrow, would that be sufficient 25